



VETERINARY DENTAL SERVICE
UNIVERSITY of PENNSYLVANIA

Colin E. Harvey, BVSc, FRCVS, DipACVS, DipAVDC
Professor of Surgery and Dentistry
Department of Clinical Studies
VHUP 3113, 3850 Spruce Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104-6010, USA
Telephone: 215-898-3350
Telefax: 215-898-9937 or 215-898-9923

July 20, 1993

Dr. Tom Lonsdale
Riverston Veterinary Hospital
Garfield Road
Riverstone NSW 2765
AUSTRALIA

Dear Tom:

I am safely back in the land of carpeted American living rooms. I enjoyed my stay in Sydney, and the opportunity to meet you. As a result of our discussions, I know that, to a large extent, we agree on the central problem of causation of periodontal disease in companion animals. Our different styles and directions for pursuing this issue will, one day I am sure, be seen to be complimentary rather than at odds.

Enclosed for your information is information on the Penn Periodontal Fund that is the basis for a fund raising campaign. Also, in early draft form, a proposal to investigate the central question. Comments welcome.

For your information, I have written to Waltham to request permission to use the copy of the Borthwick report now in my possession, without identifying the source.

With best wishes,
Yours sincerely,

Colin E. Harvey
Professor of Surgery and Dentistry

CEH:wea

Effect of the Form of the Diet on the Development of Periodontal Disease in the Dog - A Long-Term Clinical Trial

Introduction

The natural diet of the wild carnivore has a plaque-retarding effect. In rigidly controlling and optimizing the nutritional content, palatability to the pet, and acceptance of commercially available foods by the pet-owning public, pet food manufacturers have created nutrition materials that do not resemble the natural diet of wild carnivores in gross form. In addition, by selective breeding for specific body size, head shape and occlusive pattern, dog owners have created dogs that would not manage well even with a diet that closely resembles that of wild carnivores. By either route, plaque formation is enhanced, inflammatory periodontal disease is more common, and long-term health hazards secondary to intermittent or on-going bacteremia are more likely in an aging pet population. Periodontal disease thus can be considered as a disease of domestication.

Several studies have shown that the form of the diet is much more important in controlling plaque build up and gingival inflammation than is the nutritional content of the diet in dogs. At least over the short-medium term, gross changes in carbohydrate and protein content have no effect on rate of plaque build-up. A diet grossly deficient in calcium leads to secondary nutritional hyperparathyroidism and demineralization of periodontal bone, but does not cause more rapid periodontal tissue break-down. The 'rubber jaw' syndrome of secondary (nutritional or renal) hyperparathyroidism is a periodontosis that does not affect connective and epithelial tissues unless the soft bone permits mobility of teeth that is mechanically harmful.

The general conclusion from reported studies is that a fibrous or dry food diet is beneficial compared to a soft food diet, though canned food and dry food diets have not been compared directly in reported controlled studies in dogs. Even if dry food is somewhat better at retarding plaque formation than is canned food, it is far from optimal: calculus accumulation still occurs, and few studies extend over a long enough period to permit documentation of any disease producing effect of a 'well balanced diet' that is inadequate as a dietary abrasive.

From published studies to date, the optimal oral health diet for dogs contains large pieces, each of which contain calcified material and softer but fibrous material (eg. whole ox-tail or whole trachea-esophagus). These materials may not be attractive to many owners of companion animals, particularly when the material has been partially chewed, and then left for some time as dogs are wont to do. Where esthetics are not a problem, such as in laboratory housed dogs, these materials are effective: for Beagle dogs, half of a raw oxtail given in addition to dry food every two weeks results in accumulation of plaque and calculus per year at a rate that approximates the rate per week in Beagles not given access to the ox-tails. The rapidity of the dietary abrasion effect has been demonstrated with whole versus minced trachea-esophagus fed to dogs - there is a significant increase in gingival fluid flow (a measure correlated with gingival inflammation) within 24 hours when the diet is switched from whole to minced, and vice-versa. Many owners are reluctant to feed 'meat' pieces or bones to their dog or cat because of the purported risk of intestinal irritation, obstruction or perforation. Chicken limb bones that can develop sharp ends during eating, and pork chop or steak bones that have sawn edges that form spikes, probably should not be fed. Large knuckle bones are

acceptable to some owners because they are 'clean', however this means there is little 'flossing' activity during chewing by dogs. The 'ideal' self-flossing material for dogs and cats is a whole prey animal or large part of a carcass that requires much oral work to separate into swallowable sections. Most zoological gardens have reverted to a 'large pieces' menu for carnivores because periodontal disease was rampant during the "mince and mix for balance" era of zoo animal feeding regimes. An often-overlooked source of chewing activity for dogs is the addition of raw vegetables to the diet, particularly items such as broccoli and cauliflower, which are attractive to some animals.

In a Japanese study of 2,649 companion animal dogs, the prevalence of calculus ('present' or 'abundant') was significantly lower in dogs fed dry food or 'left overs' compared to canned, soft moist and home-cooked food. In a study of 1500 dogs in the USA that collected more specific data, regression analysis identified body weight and age as significantly correlated, inversely and directly respectively, with increasing calculus deposition and gingival inflammation; correlation with a dry food diet was less significant. Recently, there has been a strong resurgence in interest in feeding 'natural' diets to dogs and cats to prevent periodontal disease.

Purpose

To compare the effects in dogs of food presented in three forms over a long period. Specific questions to be addressed:

1. Can a 'natural diet' keep the mouth healthy (absence of periodontal inflammation)?
2. Is dry food really more effective than canned food in preventing accumulation of plaque and calculus?
3. Is there a difference between processed foods (dry or canned materials) and the 'natural' diet?

Materials and Methods

Young mature dogs (9-12 months at start of trial) will be studied. Following prophylaxis (thorough dental scaling and polishing, followed by daily toothbrushing so that the calculus, plaque and gingival indices for target teeth is 0), dogs will be randomly assigned to one of three groups of 50 dogs, blocked for sex. Following the initial randomization, the means and standard deviations for age (in months) and body weight will be compared by t-test; if there are significant differences, the randomization process will be repeated to achieve even distribution of age and weight.

A time 0, each dog will be sedated to permit accurate measurement and recording of the oral criteria (see below). This sedation and observation process will be repeated at 6 month intervals for the 3 year (and potentially 5 year) period of the trial.

Criteria to be examined: For both sides of the mouth, the following tooth surfaces will be observed - buccal and palatal/lingual surface of the upper and lower second incisors and upper and lower canine teeth, buccal surface of the upper third and fourth premolar and first molar teeth and the lingual and buccal surfaces of the fourth premolar and lower first molar teeth (total of 18 teeth, 30 surfaces).

Information to be recorded for each tooth surface (for multi-rooted teeth, the most severely affected area on that surface):

Plaque index
Calculus index
Gingival index
Pocket depth
Loss of attachment
Mandibular bone density - parallel position film taken at same location, exposure factors, for densitometric data.
Mobility
Furcation

Diets to be fed:

Diet 1 Commercially available dry dog food, fed dry, ad-lib; water ad-lib.
Diet 2 Commercially available canned dog food, fed once daily; water ad-lib.
Diet 3 'Natural diet' consisting of chicken (large sections, including skin and bone) or beef meat pieces with bone attached, fed once daily, plus mineral-vitamin supplement feed weekly(?); water ad-lib.

Housing

All dogs to be in individual identical housing, same husbandry practices.

Other observations

Body weight - recorded monthly
Daily - food consumed - YES/NO (report by attending animal husbandry personnel)
Daily physical observation by technician with report to attending veterinarian if abnormalities noted - e.g., gagging, vomiting.
If there are any diet-related problems (e.g., constipation, obstruction, perforation), note type of material, location, seriousness of problem

Justification of number of dogs to be used

Group n of 50 is proposed because of the surmised low risk of intestinal problems - the group will need to be far larger than the 6-10 per group required for identifying statistical differences in periodontal indices.

Costs

Assuming 150 dogs, housed individually for 3 year, at current Penn rates for purchase of dogs and per diem, the animal cost alone would be about \$800,000! This does not include scaling and on-going charting costs. This is unlikely to be financially supportable. It may be possible to arrange to 'rent' breeding dogs from a commercial laboratory (eg., Marshall Farms).

Funding Sources

The most likely funding source is a grant from grouped pet food manufacturers, either in a consortium put together for this purpose, or through an industry-wide existing arrangement (eg., Pet Food Institute). No commercial organization will want to see its

product singled out for study with potentially negative results for that product; perhaps the food for diets 1 and 2 could be donated by 3-5 organizations and used for one to several week periods in rotation.

References

1. Harvey CE: Epidemiology of periodontal conditions in dogs and cats. Proc. Vet Dent Forum, pg. 45, 1992.
2. Boyce EN: Feline experimental models for control of periodontal disease. Vet Clin Nor Am 22(6):1309-1321, 1992.
3. Richardson RL: Effect of administering antibiotics, removing the major salivary glands, and tooth brushing on dental calculi formation in the cat. Arch Oral Biol 10:245-253, 1965.
4. Lage A, Lausen N, Tracy R, Allred E: Effect of chewing & cereal biscuits on removal of dental calculus in dogs. JAVMA 197:213-219, 1990.
5. Zetner, K: The influence of dry food on the development of feline neck lesion. J Vet Dent 9(2):4, 1992.
6. Harvey CE, Venner M, Shofer F: Effectiveness of canine plaque retardants. Proc Vet Dent Forum, pg. 62, 1989.
7. Ghergariu S, Greblea A, Giuglea M, Pais C, Stroia S & Bundaru L: Periodontopathie carencielle chez les chiens. Zbl Vet Med A, 22:696-703, 1975.
8. Henrikson PA: Periodontal disease and calcium deficiency - An experimental study in the dog. ACTA Odont Scand, 26:(Suppl 50, 1-B2), 1968.
9. Rosenberg HM, Rehfeld CE, Emmering TE: A Method for the Epidemiologic Assessment of Periodontal Health-Disease State in a Beagle Hound Colony. J. Periodont 37:208-13, 1966.
10. "Survey on the Health of Pet Animals". Japan Small Animal Veterinary Association, 1985.

11. Svanberg G, Lindhe J, Hugoson A & Grondahl HG: Effect of nutritional hyper-parathyroidism on experimental periodontitis in the dog. *Scand J Dent Res*, 81:155-162, 1973.
12. Egelberg J: Local effect of diet on plaque formation and development of gingivitis in dogs. *Odontologisk Revy* 16:31-41, 1965.
13. Lonsdale T: Preventative dentistry. (in *Veterinary Dentistry Proceedings 212 - Post Graduate Committee, University of Sydney*), 1993.



CANINE-FELINE PERIODONTAL FUND of the UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Introduction

Periodontal disease is the most common clinically evident disease in companion animals. As cats and dogs live longer and healthier lives (thanks to substantial progress in prevention or treatment of other conditions), chronic and progressive periodontal disease becomes more severe.

Periodontal disease results from the accumulation and maturation of bacterial plaque on the surface of teeth. Calculus (dental tartar), the mineral-rich hard substance deposited on the teeth from saliva, provides a rough surface that permits further accumulation of plaque. Plaque-induced inflammation results in disruption of the attachment of the gingival epithelium to the tooth, followed by tissue resorption, bone loss, pocketing or recession of soft tissues, and eventually loss of the tooth.

Periodontal disease causes local pain, and bone and soft tissue infection. In addition, it has recently been documented that increasing severity of periodontal disease is associated with chronic kidney and possibly heart, lung and liver diseases in dogs.

Processed pet foods are nutritionally wholesome, but may not be as effective as a natural diet at keeping the teeth clean. Selective breeding has produced malocclusions. Thus periodontal disease in dogs and cats can be thought of largely as resulting from domestication. Once established, treatment of periodontal disease requires general anesthesia, often in aging or sick animals. With more effective prevention, we can avoid the bad breath, the medical problems and need for anesthesia.

Purpose of the Fund

The overall purpose of the Canine-Feline Periodontal Fund is to provide a means of advancing research, disseminating knowledge and improving prevention and control of periodontal disease in companion animals.

Specific Objectives

1. Examine currently-available epidemiological information to determine the factors that increase the risk of development of severe periodontal disease in dogs and cats.
2. Develop a list of research objectives of prime importance in improving the prevention and control of periodontal disease. Determine the resources necessary to conduct such studies, and develop a plan for identifying funding to meet these costs. Such research objectives could include:

- A. Understanding the interaction between nutrition, salivary physiology and calculus deposition.
 - B. Defining more clearly the effects of the form of the food on development of periodontal disease.
 - C. Testing of risk factors determined in item 1, above. This may include more specific epidemiological field studies, or controlled studies of laboratory-housed dogs and cats.
 - D. Conducting microbiological, immunological and pathological studies of the etiopathogenesis of periodontal disease, and the reason for the documented differences associated with various risk factors.
 - E. Developing a protocol for recording the location, type, extent and severity of oral lesions in cats for use in feline research projects that require measurement of gingivitis, stomatitis or both.
3. Enlarge the existing University of Pennsylvania veterinary dental bibliographic database to include all known data and literature on canine and feline periodontal disease, including data not currently listed in computer accessible sources. This will include all published or unpublished public-domain studies of treatment or prevention of periodontal disease in dogs and cats, including testing of specific products.
 4. Develop criteria for testing the effectiveness of products designed to treat or prevent periodontal disease. The Center for Veterinary Medicine of the US Food and Drug Administration has indicated an interest in this objective, and the American Veterinary Medical Association wishes to be kept informed. Information obtained from item 3, above will be a major source for this project.
 5. Investigate ways in which products that meet or exceed agreed criteria (from item 4, above) can be recognized as such. This could include ground work for establishment of an independent authoritative agency that would review clinical trial data, and grant use of a 'seal of acceptance' on packaging and advertising.
 6. Improve the quality of treatment and prevention of periodontal disease available to the pet-owning public.

Mechanisms to Achieve the Objectives

1. Obtain commitments for funding the development stage of the proposed activities in 1993-94.
2. Identify consultants or an advisory committee and invite their participation.
3. Continue contacts with CVM-FDA to coordinate activities regarding claim testing protocols relevant for veterinary consideration.
4. Invite comment on activities of the Fund by sending letters to potentially interested organizations and by submitting news releases to the Journal of Veterinary Dentistry and the veterinary news magazines.
5. Develop position papers for submission to the Journal of Veterinary Dentistry, and organize symposia on the cause and prevention of periodontal disease.

6. Conduct continuing professional education materials and courses for veterinarians and veterinary technicians to improve the quality of periodontal care made available to the pet-owning public. This could include: intensive, hands-on courses conducted at Penn; coordination with national or regional continuing education programs (eg., LifeLearn V); participate in computer interactive modem-based question-answer forums (e.g., VIN on America-on-line); developing hypertext versions of review papers or textbook material.

STAFF

Colin E. Harvey, BVSc, FRCVS, DipACVS, DipAVDC. Professor of Surgery and Dentistry, School of Veterinary Medicine and secondary appointment as Professor, Department of Periodontics, School of Dental Medicine.

Paul Orsini, DVM. Staff Dentist and Oral Surgeon, VHUP and Adjunct Assistant Professor of Dentistry, School of Veterinary Medicine.

Frances Shofer, PhD. Staff Epidemiologist and Assistant Professor of Epidemiology, School of Veterinary Medicine.

Bonnie Flax, RDH, BS. Staff dental hygienist, VHUP.

William Alston, BS. Administrative Assistant

CONSULTANTS

(tentative list)

Jamie Anderson, RDH, DVM, MS. Veterinary dentist and internist, private practice, Delaware.

Laura Braswell, DDS, CertPerio. Yerkes Regional Primate Research Center at Emory University, Private Practice - Periodontics, Atlanta, GA

Peter Emily, DDS, CertPerio. HonMem AVDC, Adjunct faculty - Colorado State University and University of Missouri

Keith Grove, DDS, VMD, MS, CertPerio. Periodontal and veterinary dental practitioner, Vero Beach FL. Current President of the American Veterinary Dental College

Benjamin F. Hammond, DDS, PhD. Consultant Microbiologist, and Director of the Oral Microbiology Testing Service, Medical College of Pennsylvania.

John Hefferen, PhD. Odontex and Kansas University, Lawrence KS; Formerly Director of Research, American Dental Association

Steve Holmstrom, DVM, DipAVDC. Veterinary dental practitioner, Belmont, CA

Larry Laster, PhD. Associate Professor of Biostatistics, Schools of Veterinary Medicine and Dental Medicine, University of Pennsylvania

George Stookey, DDS. Indianapolis, Indiana

Charles Williams, DVM, DipAVDC. Veterinary Dental Practitioner, Fairfax VA. Adjunct faculty, University of Pennsylvania and Virginia-Maryland College of Veterinary Medicine.

Policy on Openness and Conflict of Interest

The University of Pennsylvania has a policy requiring the right to publish material generated by any project conducted under its auspices. Testing protocols generated by this group would be in the public domain. Development of specific marketable products will not be a function of this program. Should a claim-testing review system evolve from this effort, administration of the system would be devolved to a separate organization so that data from specific product claim tests could be kept confidential.

Over the last 10 years, Colin E. Harvey has engaged in consultation activities, product testing or correspondence with ALPO Pet Foods, Booda Products, Fort Dodge Laboratories, Friskies-Nestle, Hill's Pet Nutrition, Nabisco Brands, Nylabone Corp., Ralston-Purina, Rhone-Merieux Inc, Quaker Oats, ST. JON Laboratories, SmithKline Beecham, Henry Schein Inc, Upjohn Co, and Waltham Pet Nutrition, among others. These and other interested organizations will be invited to participate in supporting and setting the agenda for this project. Should the program activities lead to establishment of a claim review and recognition system, C. Harvey will propose inclusion of a conflict of interest policy for the review organization that requires that anyone involved in the development or pre-review testing of a product declare their involvement and remove themselves from the review process for that product.